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## Overview

## Intro:

Background - Mitcham Council
Aim of project

Results:


Demographics of respondents/Cat management Attitudes to cat management bylaws
Cat nuisance
Strays/semi-owned cats/unowned cats

## Intro - Mitcham Council

Registration and microchipping of cats encouraged 2010/2011
Then made mandatory 2011/2012
Discount for desexed cats
2.561 cats registered in 2016/2017

Estimated $\sim 7.300$ in the council* ( $35 \%$ of cats registered)

No knowledge of effects of cat management of owned cat populations
*Australia AM. Pet Ownership in Australia 2016. animalmedicineastralia.org.au, 2016:65

## Intro - Aim of project

Assess residents' attitude to cat management/registration

Assess effects of cat management
Residents' nuisance issues
Stray numbers

1 council with cat bylaws (Mitcham)

2 councils without bylaws (Charles Sturt and Salisbury)


50


Demographics of respondents Cat ownership +/- cat info Attitudes to cat bylaws/registration Cat nuisance issues

Available online through councils, Facebook, vet practices etc.


# Demographics of respondents 



## Demographics of respondents

Overall 554 complete responses

|  | Mitcham | Charles Sturt* | Salisbury* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cat bylaws <br> introduced | Yes | No | No |
| Respondents | 198 | 162 | 194 |
| Cat owner (\%) | $104(53)$ | $132(81)$ | $130(67)$ |
| Non cat-owner <br> $(\%)$ | $94(47)$ | $30(19)$ | $64(33)$ |

## Demographics of respondents Sex

Majority of respondents were female

| 100 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\bar{\top}} 100$ |  |
| ご 80 |  |
| $\cdots 0$ |  |
| ¢ 40 |  |
| $\bigcirc 20$ |  |
| 0 |  |

■ Female ■ Male

## Demographics of respondents Age



## Demographics of cats Management

Most respondents were responsible owners


## Demographics of cats Outside access

No difference in proportions of residents keeping cat indoor More Mitcham residents restricted cats outdoor access though



Outside - restrictions


Indoor

■ Mitcham Other

## Respondents attitude to cat registration/bylaws

## Respondent in favour of cat management

Majority of respondents in favour of bylaws/registration


## Bylaw/registration vs. council and cat ownership

Cat owners less in favour of bylaws/registration


## Mitcham residents - awareness of bylaws existing

Awareness of bylaws a potential issue

100



Non-cat owner


## Nuisance results



## Nuisance issues Roaming cats a nuisance

$63 \%$ of respondents overall had nuisance issues
More non-owners (75\%) than owners (57\%) had nuisance issues $59 \%$ in Mitcham and 65\% in other councils


## Nuisance issues roaming cat

6 major categories of nuisance


## Nuisance issues roaming cat

Less issues with trespassing and noise in Mitcham for both cat owners and non-owners
Non-owners had more nuisance issues than cat owners


Trespassing
Noise
■ Mitcham Other

## Nuisance issues roaming cat

Mitcham residents were more concerned with wildlife predation More pronounced for non-owners


Wildlife predation
■ Mitcham © Other

## Nuisance issues cat complaints Mitcham



## Data from 2010-2017

Registration increased by 46\% (1175-2561)

Complaints decreased by 61\% (95-37)

- Statistically significant relationship (Pearson correlation $=-0.799, p=0.03)$


## Stray numbers



## Stray cats - numbers

Potentially fewer strays in Mitcham?


## Feeding a semi-owned cat by cat ownership

Only 35 respondents fed un-owned cat(s)


## How many cats do you feed that you do not own?



## Summing up

Fewer complaints with stray cats in Mitcham - due to cat management?

Indications that there are fewer issues with strays, but no proof that actually fewer stray cats - data limitations

Most owner (respondents) are responsible (desex, microchip)

Majority of residents (respondents) in favour of registration, more so for non-cat owners

Biased sample risk - more responsible cat owners in survey

## Demographics of
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## Demographics of cats



Demographics of cats - management


## Cat nuisance by cat ownership



## Does your cat go outside? by Council




- Nearly $39 \%$ of total respondents not aware cat by-laws introduced
- Majority incorrectly believed cats required to wear a collar, be kept inside after dark, desexed <6 months
- Nearly $85 \%$ correctly believed cats must be microchipped
- $92 \%$ knew cat registration is required

- $51.2 \%$ of cat owners and $73.3 \%$ non-cat owners incorrectly believed cats required to wear a collar, ( $\mathrm{p}=0.004$ )
- $82 \%$ cat owners and non-cat owners incorrectly believed cats must be desexed by 6 months ( $\mathrm{p}=0.97$ )

